

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA**

JAIME GUERRERO
1728 N Academy Street
Guymon, OK 73942

Plaintiff

v.

**UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD
COMPANY**
1400 Douglas Street
Omaha, NE 68179

Defendant

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

NO. 8:20-cv-497

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

1. This suit is governed by the Federal Employers' Liability Act, 45 U.S.C. Sec. 51 et seq., which grants this Court jurisdiction over this action.

2. Plaintiff, Jaime Guerrero, is an adult individual residing at the above captioned address.

3. Defendant, Union Pacific Railroad Company, successor in interest to St. Louis Southwestern Railway, Southern Pacific Transportation and Union Pacific Railroad, (hereinafter the "Defendant Railroad") was at all times relevant hereto, engaged in interstate commerce in and throughout several states of the United States as a common carrier by rail; and for the purposes hereof did operate locomotives, railroad cars and repair facilities and transacted substantial business throughout the various states of the United States.

4. Railroads and their facilities are notorious for having been contaminated from years of transporting toxic substances and from the use of toxic substances and known carcinogens in the operation of the railroads themselves.

5. From approximately 1980 to 2004 Plaintiff was employed by the Union Pacific,

or its predecessor in interest, as a trackman, machine operator, welder/welder helper and was acting in the course and scope of his employment with Defendant and was engaged in the furtherance of interstate commerce within the meaning of said Act.

6. During the course and scope of his career with the Defendant railroad and while working in the Defendant's yards, buildings, and along its rights of way, in various locations from Tucumcari, New Mexico to New Orleans, Louisiana and from Dallas/Ft. Worth to Topeka, Kansas, the Plaintiff was exposed to various toxic substances and carcinogens including but not limited to diesel fuel/fumes/exhaust, benzene, creosote, herbicides, rock/asbestos/sand dust and fibers and welding fumes.

7. Specifically, Plaintiff was exposed to diesel fuel/exhaust/fumes/benzene from diesel powered on track equipment; creosote from handling rail ties and timbers; silica from ballast dust, asbestos dust from brake shoe that was deposited in the ballast and asbestos insulation in the various track machines that the Decedent operated, herbicides from "spray trains" as well as welding fumes from electrical and thermite welding.

8. Plaintiff's exposure to the above referenced toxic substances and known carcinogens, whether by touch, inhalation or consumption, in whole or in part, caused or contributed to his development of gastro/esophageal cancer.

9. Plaintiff's exposure was cumulative and occurred at different and variable exposure levels over the course of his career depending on his work location.

10. The Plaintiff's cancer is the result of the negligence of the Defendant railroad in that it utilized known cancer causing materials in its operation, which the Defendant knew, or in the ordinary exercise of ordinary care should have known, were deleterious, poisonous, toxic and highly harmful to its employees' health.

11. Defendant's negligence consisted of:

- (a) Failing to use ordinary care and caution to provide the Plaintiff with a reasonably safe place in which to work as required by the FELA;
- (b) Failing to take any effective action to reduce, modify or eliminate certain job duties, equipment or practices so as to minimize or eliminate the Plaintiff's exposure to toxic materials and carcinogens;
- (c) Failing to test railroad facilities, equipment, yards, buildings, and right of ways for the presence of toxic materials and carcinogens;
- (d) Failing to engage in follow up monitoring of its facilities, equipment, yards, building, and right of ways for the presence of toxic materials and carcinogens;
- (e) Failing to properly remediate known toxic materials and carcinogens from its facilities, equipment, yards, building, and right of ways
- (f) Failing to periodically test employees such as the Plaintiff for physical effects of exposure to toxic materials and carcinogens and failing to take appropriate action, including advising the Plaintiff as to the test results;
- (g) Failing to warn the Plaintiff of the risk of contracting cancer or other diseases as a result of exposure to known carcinogens;
- (h) Failing to make reasonable efforts to inspect or monitor the levels/amounts of exposure, of the Plaintiff, to carcinogens;
- (i) Failing to provide the Plaintiff with the knowledge as to what would be reasonably safe and sufficient wearing apparel and proper protective equipment to protect him from being poisoned and injured by exposure to carcinogens;
- (j) Failing to comply with the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, specifically Section 5(a)(1), requires employers to provide their employees with a workplace free from recognized hazards likely to cause death or serious physical harm;

- (k) Failing to utilize low emissions fuel such as bio-diesel for its locomotives and on-track machinery and;
- (l) Failing to provide the Plaintiff with protective equipment designed to protect him from exposure to toxic materials and carcinogens.

12. The aforesaid occurrences were caused in whole or in part by the negligence of the Defendant and/or the negligence of the Defendant's agents, servants and/or employees.

13. As a direct result of the negligence of the Defendant, the Plaintiff experienced and endured pain, suffering, inconvenience, irritation, annoyance; suffered emotional distress; incurred medical expenses associated with diagnosis and treatment.

14. As a result of the negligence of the Defendant, Plaintiff sustained a loss of income, benefit and future income and benefits.

15. Plaintiff suffers from a fear of death as a result of his cancer.

16. Plaintiff seeks all damages recoverable under the FELA.

17. Less than three (3) years before Plaintiff's Complaint was filed he first learned that his cancer was caused or contributed to by the negligence of the Defendant.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Jaime Guerrero, demands judgment against the Defendant, Union Pacific Railroad Company, in a sum in excess of FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$500,000.00) and the costs of this action.

BERN CAPPELLI

Dated: November 30, 2020

BY: /s/ Shawn M. Sassaman
SHAWN M. SASSAMAN
TOBI RUSSECK
Attorneys for Plaintiff
101 West Elm Street, #520
Conshohocken, PA 19428
(610) 941-4444